




# CANADIAN EQUITY

FACTOR-BASED MODEL PORTFOLIO STRATEGY



2020/06

**WHAT**



....

# Summary

## Key Points in a Nutshell

1

We are proud to introduce the Factor-Based Canadian Equity Model Portfolio Strategy with institutional level of liquidity and low portfolio turnover.

2

The portfolio gained an annualized return of 15.6% since 2000. It has proven to outperform on a relative basis against the S&P/TSX TR.

3

The portfolio returns are achieved by strategically selecting 25 quality at a reasonable price (QARP) companies generating wealth for its shareholders.





# Objectives

## Setting a Way to Achieve Success

The portfolio is designed for potential investors with medium risk tolerance seeking exposure to Canadian Equities by following a rigorous bottom-up factor based quantitative approach. The investment objectives of the portfolio are to:

- 1 Target long term capital appreciation among Canadian Equities.
- 2 Consistently deliver performance over the S&P/TSX Total Return Index.
- 3 Maximize tax efficiency by having a low portfolio turnover ratio.

# Characteristics

## Comparison of Smart Beta Strategies

	Market Cap Weighted Index	Factor-Based Canadian Equity
Risk management goals	None	None
Systematic rebalancing	Quarterly	Quarterly
Human input required	No	Supervised
Use of Leverage	None	None
Systematic Risk	Medium	Medium
Portfolio turnover	Low	Low
Investment process	None	Bottom-Up
Investment style	None	QARP
Market behavior	Trend Following	Relative return



**QARP** stands for Quality At Reasonable Price. We are finding companies of quality trading at attractive multiples that consistently generate wealth for its shareholders.



# Methodology

## Quantitative Modeling



### HOW IT WORKS

A quantitative model systematically identifies mispriced stocks and attempts to deliver absolute and relative performance. Briefly, a ranking system sorts stocks from a universe based on pre-selected factors and the portfolio periodically buys the best ones and sells the ones in which conditions have deteriorated over time following various rules.

### WHY IT WORKS

By rigorously adhering to this stock selection framework based on a thoroughly tested ranking system, we are able to remove the emotional element of the investment process. 80% of portfolio managers cannot beat their benchmark because 1) it is difficult to do so and 2) they unconsciously let fear and greed emotions affect their investment decisions.

A high-contrast, black and white close-up photograph of several interlocking metal gears. The gears are made of a polished, reflective metal, likely steel, and their teeth are sharply defined. The lighting creates strong highlights and deep shadows, emphasizing the texture and mechanical nature of the components. A blue rectangular box is overlaid in the top-left corner, containing the word "HOW" in white, bold, sans-serif capital letters.

**HOW**

# Philosophy

## Factor-Based (FB)

### 1. QARP (Quality At Reasonable Price)

The end goal is to invest in quality companies trading at attractive multiples that consistently generate wealth for their shareholders.

### 2. Undexing

Our goal is to beat the market over the long run. We believe the best way to do it is to create a portfolio that looks very different from it.

### 3. Concentration

We are striving to achieve a balance between diversifying to remove specific risk yet not too much to avoid ending up mimicking the index.

### 4. Low Turnover

Excessive portfolio churning increases trading costs for an investor. It also means a lack of confidence, decisiveness and tax awareness.



# Overview

## Our Investment Process

### 6. Monitoring


Monitor the portfolio, rebalance and carry out performance attribution.

### 5. Portfolio

Build the live portfolio by investing real money in the defined strategy.

### 4. Simulation

Form a strategy resulting from a mix of set rules and filters applied to the ranking system.



### 1. Universe

Define the investment environment in which we will pick our companies.

### 2. Factors

Design factors based on academic literature and innovative concepts.

### 3. Ranking

Create a composite of factors to rank companies from our defined universe.

....

# Universe

## Our Investment Environment

### 2.5

**\$billion** of market cap minimum.

This is what we consider a large cap in Canada although it is usually \$6 billion in the United States.

### 10

**\$million** of average daily liquidity for the past month expressed as price x volume.



### 250

**Stocks** passing the universe filter. They are all part of the S&P TSX Composite.

### 25

**Stocks** in our portfolio, are chosen from the best ranking decile.

# Factor

## Our Selection Method

We backtested in our universe hundreds of factors individually to find the ones generating the most alpha over the last two investment cycles. We then select the best factors using four criteria shown below:



# Ranking

Based on Our Factor Selection

Quality

(1<sup>st</sup> part)

## Earnings Quality (EPSQ) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the Operating Cash Flow minus Earnings and then divided by Total Assets.

What: Is the company manipulating accruals in its financial statements?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

## Debt Reduction (DCHG) ↓

This ratio is the difference between Long-Term Debt to Capital TTM and Long-Term Debt to Capital PTM.

What: Is the company successfully reducing its debt level from last year?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that lower is always better.

## Free Cash Flow Margin (FCFM) ↑

This ratio measures the percent of the Free Cash Flow TTM compared to Total Revenues TTM.

What: How many dollars of free cash flow gets for each dollar of sales?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

## Dividend Yield (DIVY) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the Projected Dividend divided by the current Price, multiplied by 100.

What: How much Dividends are paid by the company relative to its Price?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

# Ranking

Based on Our Factor Selection

**Q**uality

(2<sup>nd</sup> part)

## Total Yield (TOTY) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the Buyback Yield added to the Dividend Yield, multiplied by 100.

What: How much is the company giving back to their shareholders?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

## Long Term Beta (LVOL) ↓

This ratio measures the systematic risk of a security in comparison to the whole market.

What: How volatile are the shares of a company relative to the market?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that lower is always better.

## Price / Earnings Ratio (PERA) ↓

This ratio is calculated by dividing the current Price by the sum of the Diluted Earnings Per Share from Continuing Operations Before Extraordinary Items and Accounting Changes over the last four quarters.

What: How much investors are willing to pay per dollar of Earnings?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that lower is always better.

## Discounted Free Cash Flow / Assets (FCFA) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the output of a Discounted Free Cash Flow model, based on the next five years and using the Mean Long Term Growth as the discount rate, divided by the Assets of the company.

What: How much Discounted Free Cash Flow per unit of Assets is the company making?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

**V**alue

(1<sup>st</sup> part)

# Ranking

Based on Our Factor Selection

V alue

(2<sup>nd</sup> part)

## Discounted Free Cash Flow / Equity (FCFE) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the output of a Discounted Free Cash Flow model, based on the next five years and using the Mean Long Term Growth as the discount rate, divided by the Equity of the company.

What: How much Discounted Free Cash Flow per unit of Equity is the company making?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

## Discounted Free Cash Flow / EV (FCFV) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the output of a Discounted Free Cash Flow model, based on the next five years and using the Mean Long Term Growth as the discount rate, divided by the EV of the company.

What: How much Discounted Free Cash Flow per unit of EV is the company making?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

## Operating Income / EV (OPIV) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the Operating Income After Depreciation divided by Enterprise Value (EV).

What: How much Operating Income per unit of EV is the company making?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

# Ranking

Based on Our Factor Selection

## Momentum

### Analyst Revisions 1W (REV1) ↑

This ratio is calculated as a scaled difference between Next Fiscal Year EPS Mean of today vs last week.

What: Was there any weekly EPS revisions and how large were they?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

### Analyst Revisions 4W (REV4) ↑

This ratio is calculated as a scaled difference between Next Fiscal Year EPS Mean of today vs 4 weeks ago.

What: Was there any monthly EPS revisions and how large were they?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

### Trend (TREN) ↑

This ratio is calculated as 20-Day EMA divided by the 200-Day EMA and measures the price slope.

What: Is the company's price trading in a medium-term uptrend?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

### Pullback (PULL) ↑

This ratio is calculated as the 11-Day Exponential Moving Average divided by the Current Price.

What: Has the company's price experienced a short term pullback?

Why: The interpretation of this ratio is that higher is always better.

# Simulation

## Our Portfolio Rules and Filters

### G General Rules

- The portfolio is long only and without any leverage whatsoever.
- Approximately 25 stocks are held with 30% max weight deviation:  
Avg W: 4.0% | Min W: 2.8% | Max W: 5.2%
- Transactions are recorded at the average high | low of trade day.
- Rebalancing frequency is quarterly and sent before market open.
- Variable slippage (average daily \$ traded) is taken into account.

### B Buy Rules

- No penny stocks are allowed. In other words, no stocks below \$1.00 are part of the simulation.
- Stocks with stale financial statements with SEDAR are excluded from the buy list.
- Minimum Daily Total Liquidity of at least \$5M in the last week and a Market Cap > \$2.5B.
- Shareholder's Equity Total must be above zero at inclusion.

### S Sell Rules


- Sell stocks when its ranking falls below three deciles.
- Trim stocks weights back to 7.5% and below if their individual weights in the portfolio goes above 7.5%.
- We force positions in the universe even if they are replaced inside our universe.
- Sell stocks with weight below 2.5% and if it's been more than 252 trading days.





# Portfolio

## Historical Performance



## Key Portfolio Statistics

**Annualized Return (%)**  
(S&P/TSX TR = 3.7) 15.6

**Maximum Drawdown (%)**  
(S&P/TSX TR = -49.4) -39.2


**Portfolio Turnover (%)**  
(S&P/TSX TR = 6.3) 72.4

**Index Correlation**  
(S&P/TSX TR = 1.00) 0.65

**Sharpe Ratio**  
(S&P/TSX TR = 0.19) 1.14

Since Inception (January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2000)

**WHY**





# Statistics

## Calendar Performance

### Yearly

Returns (%)	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Factor-Based	39.7	10.1	3.6	28.0	24.9	31.5	20.8	11.0	-18.1	41.5
S&P/TSX TR	7.4	-12.6	-12.4	26.7	14.5	-15.2	17.3	9.8	-33.0	35.1
Difference	32.3	22.7	16.1	1.3	10.4	46.7	3.6	1.1	14.9	6.5

Returns (%)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Factor-Based	23.9	-0.4	13.1	30.9	26.5	7.7	13.5	22.1	-2.4	27.6	-13.2
S&P/TSX TR	17.6	-8.7	7.2	13.0	10.6	-8.3	21.1	9.1	-8.9	22.9	-7.5
Difference	6.3	8.3	5.9	18.0	16.0	16.0	-7.6	13.0	6.5	4.7	-5.7


### Monthly

Returns (%)	07-19	08-19	09-19	10-19	11-19	12-19	01-20	02-20	03-20	04-20	05-20	06-20
Factor-Based	1.3	-0.5	2.3	-1.3	6.8	0.0	-0.2	-6.0	-18.0	7.7	3.4	1.2
S&P/TSX TR	0.3	0.4	1.7	-0.9	3.6	0.5	1.7	-5.9	-17.4	10.8	3.0	2.5
Difference	0.9	-0.9	0.6	-0.4	3.2	-0.5	-1.9	-0.1	-0.6	-3.1	0.4	-1.2



# Statistics

## Trailing Performance



# Statistics

## Return & Risk Measurements


Trailing 3 Year	Factor-Based	S&P/TSX TR	Difference
Annualized Return (%)	6.2	3.9	2.3
Standard Deviation (%)	15.6	15.4	0.2
Max Drawdown (%)	-39.2	-37.2	-2.0
Portfolio Turnover	72.4	6.3	66.1
Sharpe Ratio	0.36	0.22	0.14
Sortino Ratio	0.41	0.27	0.13
Index Correlation	0.94	1.00	-0.06
R-Squared	0.89	1.00	-0.11
Beta	0.95	1.00	-0.05
Alpha (%) (Annualized)	2.27	0.00	2.27

Since Inception	Factor-Based	S&P/TSX TR	Difference
Annualized Return (%)	15.6	3.7	11.8
Standard Deviation (%)	11.5	15.4	-3.8
Max Drawdown (%)	-39.2	-49.4	10.2
Portfolio Turnover	72.4	6.3	66.1
Sharpe Ratio	1.14	0.19	0.95
Sortino Ratio	1.50	0.24	1.27
Index Correlation	0.65	1.00	-0.35
R-Squared	0.43	1.00	-0.57
Beta	0.49	1.00	-0.51
Alpha (%) (Annualized)	11.82	0.00	11.82



# Statistics


## Rolling Maximum Drawdown





# Statistics

## Outperformance in Different Market Conditions





# Characteristics

## Top Ten Holdings & Fundamentals

Ticker	Weight (%)	Sector
KXS:CN	6.3	Info Tech
NPI:CN	5.5	Utilities
DSG:CN	5.2	Info Tech
OTEX:CN	5.0	Info Tech
L:CN	5.0	Staples
RBA:CN	4.9	Industrials
ATD.B:CN	4.9	Staples
X:CN	4.5	Financials
QBR.B:CN	4.1	Telecom
CCA:CN	4.0	Telecom

Median	Factor-Based	S&P/TSX TR
Market Cap (\$B)	18.8	3.6
Price / Earnings	13.4	15.2
Price / Book	2.7	1.6
Price / Sales	1.9	2.1
Price / Cash Flow	9.9	9.0
Return on Equity	15.8	8.5
Dividend Yield	2.3	1.8
5Y EPS Growth	18.8	9.9
Debt / Equity	1.1	0.8
5Y Beta	0.83	1.00





# Allocation

## Sector Weights & Benchmark Deviations

Weights	Factor-Based	S&P/TSX TR	Deviations
Info Tech	20.4	10.3	10.1
Staples	9.9	4.3	5.6
Utilities	9.4	5.0	4.4
Discretionary	6.8	3.4	3.3
Telecom	8.2	5.4	2.8
Health Care	0.0	1.1	-1.1
Industrials	8.2	11.5	-3.2
Energy	6.7	12.4	-5.7
Materials	6.7	14.7	-8.0
Financials	20.8	32.0	-11.2

**WHO**



....

# Who We Are

Factor-Based (FB)



## IN A NUTSHELL...

Factor-Based (FB) is a financial research firm that specializes in equities and bonds for investment advisors and institutional clients. We are dedicated to produce exceptional risk adjusted returns for our investors by strictly adhering to factor-based investing methods.

# Disclosures

## Disclaimer and Trademarks Notice

The Factor-Based Canadian Equity Model Portfolio Strategy is not and should not be perceived as an investment fund. Any information provided by Factor-Based (FB) or included in the Strategy is not intended as and does not constitute investment advice, legal advice, tax advice, or an offer to sell any security, or as a recommendation regarding any particular security in any jurisdiction.

Information provided by Factor-Based (FB) or included in the Strategy should not be used as the sole basis for making investment decisions. Factor-Based cannot guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or correct sequencing of any of the information processed by FB including, but not limited to information originated by FB or gathered by FB from external providers or publicly available sources. There may be delays, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information.

Factor-Based (FB) does not make any representations, implicit nor explicit, about the suitability of the Strategy, nor the information contained in this presentation or provided by any of the foregoing. All such information and software are provided “as is” without any implied warranty of any kind.

© Copyright Factor-Based (FB) 2020. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part is strictly prohibited without authorization. Names and logos are pending trademarks of the company.